# Lily Lake

Macrophyte Survey 06/25/2024

This document contains a report detailing the methods and findings of a pointintercept survey of macrophyte vegetation collected on Lily Lake.

Prepared for:



Data collected and prepared by:



## Lily Lake Macrophyte Survey

June 25, 2024

#### Methods:

The point-intercept method was used to assess the aquatic macrophyte community on Lily Lake (Figure 1) on June 25, 2024. Samples were taken at 41 evenly spaced georeferenced points (Figure 2). Data on depth, plant species, and abundance rank were recorded as displayed in Table 2 and 3 and in the maps of this report.

A double-tined metal rake and a block with metal tines attached to an 8-meter rope and 10meter rope respectively, were used to collect specimens. At each point, the devices were thrown out approximately one meter and then dragged across the substrate for approximately one meter. Species were identified and given a ranking based on cover of rake tines (Table 1). Plant species that were floating in the water at the collection points were also counted.

Table 1.

Abundance rankings for percent of tinesPercent Cover of TinesAbundance Ranking41-100321-402				
Percent Cover of Tines	Abundance Ranking			
41-100	3			
21-40	2			
1-20	1			

### **Results:**

Aquatic macrophytes were found at 26 of the 41 points surveyed (Figure 2). The four macrophyte species found were: Fern leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton robbinsii*), Small pondweed (*Potamogeton pusillus*), Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*), and Large leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton amplifolius*). Three additional floating species included: Floating pondweed (*Potamogeton natans*), White water lily (*Nymphaea* odorata), and Yellow pond lily (*Nuphar lutea*). No non-native plants were found.

The species observed during the survey displayed the following frequency of occurrence as a percentage (Table 2).



Figure 1. Location of Lily Lake outlined in red within Middle St. Croix Watershed Management Organization boundaries.

Frequency of occurrence for Fern leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton robbinsii*) was 45%, Small pondweed (*Potamogeton pusillus*) 33%, Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) 34%, and Large leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton amplifolius*) 2%. Floating species frequency of occurrence was: Floating pondweed (*Potamogeton natans*) 48%, White water lily (*Nymphaea* odorata) 29%, and Yellow pond lily (*Nuphar lutea*) 17%. Average rake abundance across all species was two.

Table 2. Percent occurrence or percent of vegetated sites and average abundance of aquatic plant taxa present during Lily Lake point-intercept survey.

Species	Common Name	Scientific Name	Average Abundance (1-4 scale)	Frequency of Occurrence
	1 Floating Pondweed	Potamogeton natans	2	48%
	2 Fern Leaf Pondweed	Potamogeton robbinsii	1	45%
	3 Small Pondweed	Potamogeton pusillus	2	33%
	4 White Water Lily	Nymphaea odorata	2	29%
	5 Coontail	Ceratophyllum demersum	1	24%
	6 Yellow Pond Lily	Nuphar lutea	1	17%
	7 Large Leaf Pondweed	Potamogeton amplifolius	1	2%

Note: Frequency of occurrence represents the number of points a plant species was observed divided by the number of total sample sites in the littoral zone. Average abundance is calculated as the average of the abundance ranking for an individual species present.

								$\langle \rangle$		/. /
			15	eton o	eton or	eton re	0 1	Allun m	wted of	etonius
			tomos	ans amou	binsli tamou	illus mphoe	voto otopi	nersu!	hor is amoly	olifoli
Sample ID	Depth (ft)	Depth (m)	Por no	2 POL (0	Dr POL PU	2. WAL OG	cere de	·/ NU	b born au	<u></u>
1	3	0.9		1	3	1				
2	6.5	2.0	3	1	1	3				
3	8	2.4	3	1			1			-
4	3	0.9		3	1		1	2		-
5	13	4.0	2				1			-
6	28.5	8.7								-
7	34	10.4								-
8	9.2	2.8	2							
9	6	1.8	2	2	1	3	1	2		-
10	8	2.4	3	1	1		1	1		-
11	28	8.5								-
12	35	10.7								
13	40 26	12.2								-
14	50	1.0	2	1		2	2	1		-
10	21	6.4	5	1		5	۷	-		-
17	12.5	3.8	2				1			
18	29	8.8								
19	41	12.5								-
20	50	15.2								
21	13	4.0	3	1		1				
22	28	8.5								
23	23	7.0								
24	9	2.7	3	1	1			1		
25	14.5	4.4		1	1				1	
26	35	10.7								
27	43	13.1								
28	15	4.6	2							-
29	9.5	2.9	2	2	1		2			-
30	17	5.2					1			
31	10	3.0	1	1				1		-
32	10.5	3.2	2	1	1					-
33	12	3.7	2							-
34	26	7.9								
35	13	4.0	2	1						-
30	4	1.2	1	1		2	2			-
37	10	3.0	1	1	2	2 1	1			
20	10	5.0	<u>ົ</u> ງ	1 ว	2	1		2		
39	<u>د.</u> ۲	1.7	2 1	2	2	2		2		-
 	6	1.8	1	1	2	2				-
Average Ah	undance	1.0	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	
Frequency c	of Occurrer	ice %	48	45	33	29	24	17	2	-
					20					1

Table 3. Depth and vegetation abundance point survey results on June 25, 2024.

Figure 2. Lily Lake vegetation point-intercept survey locations (N=41).



## McKusick Lake

Macrophyte Survey 06/24/2024

This document contains a report detailing the methods and findings of a pointintercept survey of macrophyte vegetation collected on McKusick Lake.

Prepared for:



Data collected and prepared by:



### McKusick Lake Macrophyte Survey

June 24, 2024

### Methods:

The point-intercept method was used to assess the aquatic macrophyte community on McKusick Lake (Figure 1) on June 24, 2024. Samples were taken at 42 evenly spaced georeferenced points (Figure 2). Data on depth, plant species, and abundance rank were recorded as displayed in Table 2 and 3 and in the maps of this report.

A double-tined metal rake and a block with metal tines attached to an 8-meter rope and 10meter rope respectively, were used to collect specimens. At each point, the devices were thrown out approximately one meter and then dragged across the substrate for approximately one meter. Species were identified and given a ranking based on cover of rake tines (Table 1). Plant species that were floating in the water at the collection points were also counted.

Table 1.

Abundance rankings for percent of tines							
Percent Cover of Tines	Abundance Ranking						
41-100	3						
21-40	2						
1-20	1						

### **Results:**

Aquatic macrophytes were found at 41 of the 42 points surveyed (Figure 2). The six macrophyte species found were: Small pondweed (Potamogeton pusillus), Coontail (Ceratophyllum demersum), Canada waterweed (Elodea canadensis), Curly-leaf pondweed (Potamogeton crispus), Large leaf pondweed (Potamogeton amplifolius), and Leafy pondweed (Potamogeton foliosus). Five additional floating species included: White water lily (Nymphaea odorata), Watermeal (Wolffia spp.), Duckweed (Lemna major & minor), Floating pondweed (Potamogeton natans) and Yellow pond lily (Nuphar lutea). Species highlighted in red font are non-native plants. One emergent species was present: Water willow (Decodon verticillatus).



Figure 1. Location of McKusick Lake outlined in red within Middle St. Croix Watershed Management Organization boundaries.

The species observed during the survey displayed the following frequency of occurrence as a percentage (Table 2). Frequency of occurrence for Small pondweed (*Potamogeton pusillus*) was 88%, Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) 86%, Canada waterweed (*Elodea canadensis*) 52%, **Curly-leaf pondweed** (*Potamogeton crispus*) 19%, Large leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton amplifolius*) 5%, and Leafy pondweed (*Potamogeton foliosus*) 2%. Floating species frequency of occurrence was: White water lily (*Nymphaea* odorata) 48%, Watermeal (*Wolffia spp.*) 35%, Duckweed (*Lemna major & minor*) 21%, Floating pondweed (*Potamogeton natans*) 14%, and Yellow pond lily (*Nuphar lutea*) 2%. Average rake abundance across all species was one. One emergent species occurrence was: Water willow (*Decodon verticillatus*) 2%.

Table 2. Percent occurrence or percent of vegetated sites and average abundance of aquatic plant taxa present during McKusick Lake point-intercept survey.

Species	Common Name	Scientific Name	Average Abundance (1-4 scale)	Frequency of Occurrence
1	Small Pondweed	Potamogeton pusillus	3	88%
2	2 Coontail	Ceratophyllum demersum	2	86%
3	Canada Waterweed	Elodea canadensis	1	52%
4	White Water Lily	Nymphaea odorata	1	48%
5	Watermeal	Wolffia spp.	1	35%
6	5 Duckweed	Lemna major & minor	1	21%
7	Curly-Leaf Pondweed	Potamogeton crispus	1	19%
8	Floating Pondweed	Potamogeton natans	1	14%
9	Large Leaf Pondweed	Potamogeton amplifolius	1	5%
10	) Yellow Pond Lily	Nuphar lutea	1	2%
11	. Water Willow	Decodon verticillatus	1	2%
12	Leafy Pondweed	Potamogeton foliosus	1	2%

Note: Frequency of occurrence represents the number of points a plant species was observed divided by the number of total sample sites in the littoral zone. Average abundance is calculated as the average of the abundance ranking for an individual species present. Species in red text is an aquatic invasive.

Sample ID	Depth (ft)	Depth (m)	Potomogeto	s cerotophyllu	Elodea conad	ensis Nymphaea	a Notfin spp	Lenna najor	a potomogetor	Potomogeton	Potomogeto	olius Nuphr	ur uteo	etteilletus etteillepotomogetol	\$
1	. 6	1.8	3						1	1					
2	6	1.8	3		1				2	1					
3	6	1.8	1	3	2	1									
4	6.5	2.0	3	2			2		1	1					
5	8	2.4	3	2		1									
6	5 7	2.1	3	1		1									
7	7.5	2.3	3			1			1						1
8	7.5	2.3	3	1	1				2						
9	6.5	2.0	2	2	2					1	1				
10	) 7	2.1	3	1	1					1					1
11	. 12	3.7	2	3								1		1	1
12	. 15	4.6												1	1
13	7	2.1	2	1					-			1	1	1	1
14	6.5	2.0	1	1	2	2						1	1		1
15	6 4	1.2	2	3			2	1							
16	5 7.5	2.3	2	1		2									
17	/ 8	2.4	2			1									
18	8	2.1	3	1	1	1				1	1			1	
19	6	1.9	3			1			2		-				
20	7	2.1	3	1	1	2						1			1
20	, ,	1.9	2	2	2	1						-			
21	65	1.0	2	2	1	1	1	1	1						1
22	0.5	2.0	3	2	2	1	1	1	1						1
23	7	2.1	2	2	2	1									
24		2.1	2	2	Z	1									
25	5.5	1.7	3	3	1	1	1								-
20	0.5	2.0	3	2	1	2	1								-
2/	7.5	2.3	3	2	1				-					-	-
28	6 6	1.8	3	3	1				-					-	-
29	, 9 . 75	2.7	3	1	1				-					-	-
30	/.5	2.3	3	3	1	2	2	2							1
31	4.5	1.4	2	3		2	2	2							1
32	6	1.8	2	3	4	1	1	1							1
33	9	2.7	3	1	1										4
34	6.5	2.0	3	2	2		1								4
35	/	2.1		3	2		1	1	1						4
36	7	2.1	1	3	2		1					+		+	-
37	6	1.8	2	2		2	1	1						+	4
38	6.5	2.0	2	2		2	1							+	4
39	3	0.9		3			1	1							1
40	4	1.2		3			2	2					1	1	
41	. 7	2.1		4			2								
42	6	1.8	2	2	1	3	1	1							
Average Abu	ndance		3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	1 1	1
Frequency of	f Occurrence %	, D	88	86	52	48	36	21	19	14	5	2	2	2 2	

### Table 3. Depth and vegetation abundance point survey results on June 24, 2024.



Figure 2. McKusick Lake vegetation point-intercept survey locations (N=42).